arXiv:hep-th/9703149v1 21 Mar 1997

OCHA-PP-93
NDA-FP-31
March (1997)

$N = 3$ and $N = 4$ Two-Form Supergravities

Tomoko KADOYOSHI[1] and Shin'ichi NOJIRI♠[2]

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science
Ochanomizu University
Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, JAPAN
and
♠ *Department of Mathematics and Physics*
National Defence Academy
Hashirimizu, Yokosuka 139, JAPAN

ABSTRACT

We construct the Lagrangeans of $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ two-form supergravities. The two-form gravity theories are classically equivalent to the Einstein gravity theories and can be formulated as gauge theories. The gauge algebras used here can be identified with the subalgebra of $N = 3$ superconformal algebra and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$-extended $N = 4$ superconformal algebra.

---

[1] e-mail : kado@fs.cc.ocha.ac.jp
[2] e-mail : nojiri@cc.nda.ac.jp

It should be natural to regard the Einstein gravity theory as an effective theory of a more fundamental theory *e.g.* superstring theory since the Lagrangean of the Einstein gravity is not renormalizable. Two-form gravity theory is classically equivalent to the Einstein gravity theory and appeared as the Lagrangean formalism of the Ashtekar formulation [1]. The two-form gravity is obtained from the BF theory [2], which is a topological field theory, by imposing constraint conditions [3]. The BF theory has a large local symmetry called the Kalb-Ramond symmetry [4]. Since the Kalb-Ramond symmetry is very stringy symmetry, the fundamental gravity theory is expected to be a kind of string theory [5].

The two-form supergravity theory was considered in Ref.[6] and the two-form supergravity theories which have a cosmological term and $N = 2$ supersymmetry were proposed in Ref.[7]. The group theoretical structure of these supergravity theories was discussed in Refs.[8, 9] and it was clarified that $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ two-form supergravity theories can be formulated as gauge theories. The gauge algebras are the subalgebras of $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ Neveu-Schwarz algebaras whose generators are $(L_0, L_{\pm 1}, G_{\pm\frac{1}{2}})$ and $(L_0, L_{\pm 1}, G^{\pm}_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, J_0)$, respectively. Then it might be natural to expect that $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ two-form supergravities can be formulated as the gauge theories whose gauge algebras are the subalgebras of $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ [10, 11] Neveu-Schwarz algebra. In this paper, we construct the Lagrangean of $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ two-form supergravity based on the subalgebra of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$-extended $N = 4$ superconformal (Neveu-Schwarz) algebra [11], which is known to be an irreducible algebra containing the largest number of supercurrents.

The $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$-extended $N = 4$ superconformal (Neveu-Schwarz) algebra is composed of Virasoro operators, four supercurrents, two $SU(2)$ currents, four free fermion currents and $U(1)$ current (free boson). The zero modes of two $SU(2)$ currents, $\pm\frac{1}{2}$-modes of four supercurrents and 0,±1-modes of Virasoro generators make a closed subalgebra, which we call $N = 4$ topological superalgebra ($N = 4$ TSA) and can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&[A^a, A^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} A^c \ , \quad [B^a, B^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} B^c \ , \quad [T^a, T^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} T^c \ , \\
&[A^a, B^b] = [B^a, T^b] = [T^a, A^b] = 0 \ , \\
&[G_{KLA}, A^a] = T^{a\ M}_{K} G_{MLA} \ , \qquad [G_{KLA}, B^a] = T^{a\ M}_{L} G_{KMA} \ , \\
&[G_{KLA}, T^a] = T^{a\ B}_{A} G_{KLB} \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{G_{KLA}, G_{MNB}\} = \alpha T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}A^a + \beta\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}B^a \\ +\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^a_{AB}T^a \ .\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here $A, B, \cdots, K, L, \cdots = 1, 2$[3], $a, b, \cdots = 1, 2, 3$,

$$T^a{}_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^a{}_A{}^B \tag{2}$$

(σ^a's ($a = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices.)

$$\begin{aligned} T^a_{AB} &\equiv \epsilon_{BC}T^a{}_A{}^C \\ T^{aAB} &\equiv \epsilon^{AC}T^a{}_C{}^B \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon^{AB} = -\epsilon^{BA} \\ &\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA} \\ &\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{21} = 1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the above algebra (1), A^a and B^a correspond to the zero modes of two $SU(2)$ currents, G_{KLA} to $\pm\frac{1}{2}$-modes of four supercurrents and T^a to 0,±1-modes of Virasoro operators in the $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$-extended $N = 4$ superconformal (Neveu-Schwarz) algebra. The Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{KLA}, \{G_{MNB}, G_{PQC}\}] + [G_{MNB}, \{G_{PQC}, G_{KLA}\}] \\ +[G_{PQC}, \{G_{KLA}, G_{MNB}\}] = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

requires

$$\alpha + \beta + 1 = 0 \ . \tag{6}$$

The invariant traces of the product of the two operators can be defined, up to a multiplicative constant, to be

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{tr}T^aT^b = \delta^{ab} \ , \qquad \mathrm{tr}A^aA^b = \frac{1}{\alpha}\delta^{ab} \ , \qquad \mathrm{tr}B^aB^b = \frac{1}{\beta}\delta^{ab} \\ &\mathrm{tr}G_{KLA}G_{MNB} = -\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

---

[3] We use $A, B, \cdots$ as spinor indices with respect to $SU(2)$ generated by T^a and $K, L, \cdots$ with respect to two $SU(2)$'s generated by A^a and B^a.

The $N=4$ TSA contains an algebra which has three supercurrents as a subalgebra. We call the algebra as $N=3$ topological superalgebra ($N=3$ TSA). The reduction to $N=3$ TSA from $N=4$ TSA is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & G^a_A \equiv T^{aKL} G_{KL\,A} \ , \qquad J^a \equiv A^a + B^a \ , \\ & \alpha = \beta = -\frac{1}{2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The $N=3$ TSA is

$$\begin{aligned} & [J^a, J^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} J^c \ , \qquad [T^a, T^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} T^c \ , \\ & [J^a, G^b_A] = i\epsilon^{abc} G^c_A \ , \qquad [G^a_A, T^b] = T^a{}_A{}^B G^b_B \ , \\ & \{G^a_A, G^b_B\} = \frac{i}{8}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon_{AB} J^c - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab} T^c_{AB} T^c \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and the invariant traces are

$$\mathrm{tr} T^a T^b = \delta^{ab} \ , \qquad \mathrm{tr} J^a J^b = -4\delta^{ab} \ , \qquad \mathrm{tr} G^a_A G^b_B = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}\epsilon_{AB} \ . \tag{10}$$

As we will see later, $G_{KL\,A}$ and G^a_A generate left-handed supersymmetry.

Two-form gravity theory is obtained from the BF theory by imposing constraint conditions [3]. In order to keep the symmetries given by $N=3$ or $N=4$ TSA when imposing the constraints, we introduce the multiplier fields later. The $N=3$ or $N=4$ TSA multiplet of the multiplier field needs to contain a field of spin 2 representation with respect to $SU(2)$ generated by T^a. The representations containing the higher spins can be constructed by using a tensor product, where T^a *etc.* are replaced by $T^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes T^a$ *etc.* [9]. In case of $N=4$ TSA, such a multiplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ABCD} &= T^a_{(AB} T^b_{CD)} T^a \otimes T^b \ , \\ N_{KL\,ABC} &= T^a_{(AB} (G_{KL\,C)} \otimes T^a + T^a \otimes G_{KL\,C)}) \ , \\ K^{ab}(\alpha) &= \alpha(A^a \otimes T^b + T^b \otimes A^a) + 2T^{aKM}\epsilon^{LN} T^{bAB} G_{KL\,A} \otimes G_{MN\,B} \ , \\ K^{ab}(\beta) &= \beta(B^a \otimes T^b + T^b \otimes B^a) + 2\epsilon^{KM} T^{aLN} T^{bAB} G_{KL\,A} \otimes G_{MN\,B} \ , \\ L_{KL\,A} &= \frac{2}{3}(\alpha - \beta) T^a{}_A{}^B (G_{KL\,B} \otimes T^a + T^a \otimes G_{KL\,B}) \\ &\quad +2\alpha T^a{}_K{}^M (G_{ML\,A} \otimes A^a + A^a \otimes G_{ML\,A}) \\ &\quad -2\beta T^a{}_L{}^N (G_{KN\,A} \otimes B^a + B^a \otimes G_{KN\,A}) \ , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{3}(\alpha-\beta)T^a\otimes T^a + \alpha^2 A^a\otimes A^a - \beta^2 B^a\otimes B^a \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon^{KM}\epsilon^{LN}\epsilon^{AB}G_{KL\,A}G_{MN\,B}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here $(AB\cdots D)$ means a symmetrization with respect to $AB\cdots D$ which are indices of $SU(2)$ generated by T^a. The multiplet in $N=3$ TSA is also given by,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ABCD} &= T^a_{(AB}T^b_{CD)}T^a\otimes T^b\ , \\ N^a_{ABC} &= T^b_{(AB}(G^a_{C)}\otimes T^b + T^b\otimes G^a_{C)})\ , \\ K^{ab} &= \frac{1}{2}(J^a\otimes T^b + T^b\otimes J^a) + 4i\epsilon^{acd}T^{bAB}G^c_A\otimes G^d_B\ , \\ L_A &= (G^a_A\otimes J^a + J^a\otimes G^a_A)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$(M_{ABCD},\ N_{KL\,ABC},\ K^{ab}(\alpha),\ K^{ab}(\beta),\ L_{KL\,A},\ H)$ makes a representation of $N=4$ TSA. The (anti-) commutators of $G_{KL\,A}$ with $(M_{ABCD},\ N_{KL\,ABC},\ K^{ab}(\alpha),\ K^{ab}(\beta),\ L_{KL\,A},\ H)$ are given by[4]

$$\begin{aligned} [G_{KL\,A}, M_{BCDE}] &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{A(B}N_{KLCDE)}\ , \\ \{G_{KL\,A}, N_{MN\,BCD}\} &= -T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{A(B}T^b_{CD)}K^{ab}(\alpha) \\ &\quad -\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}\epsilon_{A(B}T^b_{CD)}K^{ab}(\beta) + 2\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}M_{ABCD}\ , \\ \left[G_{KL\,A}, K^{ab}(\alpha)\right] &= T^a_K{}^M T^b_A{}^B L_{ML\,B} - 2(\alpha-1)T^a_K{}^M T^{aBC}N_{ML\,ABC}\ , \\ \left[G_{KL\,A}, K^{ab}(\beta)\right] &= -T^a_L{}^N T^b_A{}^B L_{KN\,B} - 2(\beta-1)T^a_L{}^N T^{aBC}N_{KN\,ABC}\ , \\ \{G_{KL\,A}, L_{MN\,B}\} &= \epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}H + \frac{4}{3}(\alpha+2)T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^b_{AB}K^{ab}(\alpha) \\ &\quad -\frac{4}{3}(\beta+2)\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}T^b_{AB}K^{ab}(\beta)\ , \\ [G_{KL\,A}, H] &= -\frac{1}{4}L_{KL\,A}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In case of $N=3$ TSA, the (anti-)commutaters of G^a_A with $(M_{ABCD},\ N^a_{ABC},\ K^{ab},\ L_A)$ are given by

$$[G^a_A, M_{BCDE}] = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{A(B}N^a_{CDE)}\ ,$$

---

[4] $G_{KL\,A}$ in Equation (13) is understood to be $G_{KL\,A}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes G_{KL\,A}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{G^a_A, N^b_{BCD}\} &= -\frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon_{A(B}T^d_{CD)}K^{cd} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}M_{ABCD} \ , \\
\left[G^a_A, K^{bc}\right] &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}T^{c\ \,B}_{A}L_B + 3i\epsilon^{abd}T^{cBC}N^d_{ABC} \ , \\
\{G^a_A, L_B\} &= -T^b_{AB}K^{ab} \ . \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we call the representations given by (M_{ABCD}, N_{KLABC}, $K^{ab}(\alpha)$, $K^{ab}(\beta)$, L_{KLA}, H) or (M_{ABCD}, N^a_{ABC}, K^{ab}, L_A) as $N = 4$ or $N = 3$ spin 2 representation since the highest spin with respect to T^a in the representation is 2.

In order to construct the Lagrangeans of $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ two-form supergravity theories, we introduce the gauge field V_μ. In case of $N = 4$ TSA, the gauge field is defined by

$$V_\mu = A^a_\mu A^a + B^a_\mu B^a + \psi^{KLA}_\mu G_{KLA} + \omega^a_\mu T^a \ . \qquad (15)$$

$N = 3$ TSA gauge field is also defined by

$$V_\mu = A^a_\mu J^a + \psi^{aA}_\mu G^a_A + \omega^a_\mu T^a \ . \qquad (16)$$

ω^a_μ is identified with left-handed spin connection and ψ^{ABC}_μ and ψ^{aA}_μ are with the left-handed Rarita-Schwinger fields. The field strength (gauge curvature)

$$R_{\mu\nu} = [\partial_\mu + V_\mu, \partial_\nu + V_\nu] \qquad (17)$$

has the following form in case of $N = 4$ TSA

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu} &= \{\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu - \alpha T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{KLA}_\mu\psi^{MNB}_\nu\}A^a \\
&\quad +\{\partial_\mu B^a_\nu - \partial_\nu B^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}B^b_\mu B^c_\nu - \beta\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{KLA}_\mu\psi^{MNB}_\nu\}B^a \\
&\quad +\{\partial_\mu\psi^{KLA}_\nu - \partial_\nu\psi^{KLA}_\mu + T^{a\ \,A}_{B}(\omega^a_\mu\psi^{KLB}_\nu - \omega^a_\nu\psi^{KLB}_\mu) \\
&\qquad +T^{a\ \,K}_{M}(A^a_\mu\psi^{MLA}_\nu - A^a_\nu\psi^{MLA}_\mu) \\
&\qquad +T^{a\ \,L}_{N}(B^a\psi^{KNA}_\nu - B^a_\nu\psi^{KNA}_\mu)\}G_{KLA} \\
&\quad +\{\partial_\mu\omega^a_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_\mu\omega^c_\nu - \epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^a_{AB}\psi^{KLA}_\mu\psi^{MNB}_\nu\}T^a \ , \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

and $N = 3$ TSA

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu} &= \{\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu - \frac{i}{8}i\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{bA}_\mu\psi^{cB}_\nu\}J^a \\
&\quad +\{\partial_\mu\psi^{aA}_\nu - \partial_\nu\psi^{aA}_\mu + T^{b\ \,A}_{B}(\omega^b_\mu\psi^{aB}_\nu - \omega^b_\nu\psi^{aB}_\mu) \\
&\qquad +i\epsilon^{abc}(A^b_\mu\psi^{cA}_\nu - A^b_\nu\psi^{cA}_\mu)\}G^a_A \\
&\quad +\{\partial_\mu\omega^a_\nu - \partial_\nu\omega^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_\mu\omega^c_\nu + \frac{1}{2}T^a_{AB}\psi^{bA}_\mu\psi^{bB}_\nu\}T^a \ . \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

We also introduce the two-form field $X_{\mu\nu}$; in case of $N=4$ TSA

$$X_{\mu\nu} = \Theta^a_{\mu\nu}A^a + \Pi^a_{\mu\nu}B^a + \chi^{KL\,A}_{\mu\nu}G_{KL\,A} + \Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}T^a \tag{20}$$

and in case of $N=3$ TSA

$$X_{\mu\nu} = \Pi^a_{\mu\nu}J^a + \chi^{aA}_{\mu\nu}G^a_A + \Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}T^a \ . \tag{21}$$

We also need multiplier field. In case of $N=4$, the multiplier field has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \phi^{ABCD}M_{ABCD} + \kappa^{KL\,ABC}N_{KL\,ABC} \\ &\quad +\lambda^{ab}K^{ab}(\alpha) + \xi^{ab}K^{ab}(\beta) + \zeta^{KL\,A}L_{KL\,A} + \rho H \ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and in case of $N=3$ TSA

$$\Phi = \phi^{ABCD}M_{ABCD} + \kappa^{aABC}N^a_{ABC} + \lambda^{ab}K^{ab} + \zeta^A L_A \ . \tag{23}$$

The Lagrangeans of $N=3$ and $N=4$ two-form supergravity have the following form

$$\mathcal{L} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left\{\mathrm{tr}R_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} + \Lambda\mathrm{tr}X_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} + \mathrm{tr}\Phi(X_{\mu\nu}\otimes X_{\rho\sigma})\right\} \ . \tag{24}$$

Here Λ is a cosmological constant. In the component fields, the terms in the Lagrangean of $N=4$ two-form supergravity are given by[5]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}R_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\{\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \\ &\quad -\alpha T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{KL\,A}_\mu\psi^{MN\,B}_\nu\}\Theta^a_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{\beta}\{\partial_\mu B^a_\nu - \partial_\nu B^a_\mu + i\epsilon^{abc}B^b_\mu B^c_\nu \\ &\quad -\beta\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{KL\,A}_\mu\psi^{MN\,B}_\nu\}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad +\epsilon_{KP}\epsilon_{LQ}\epsilon_{AC}\{\partial_\mu\psi^{KL\,A}_\nu - \partial_\nu\psi^{KL\,A}_\mu \end{aligned}$$

---

[5] Let Q_i's be the generators of $N=4$ or $N=3$ TSA, then the trace for the two tensor products are defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\otimes Q_2)(Q_3\otimes Q_4) \equiv (-1)^{s_{23}}\mathrm{tr}Q_1Q_3\mathrm{tr}Q_2Q_4 \ .$$

Here $s_{23}=1$ if both of Q_2 and Q_3 are G^a_A or $G_{KL\,A}$, otherwise $s_{23}=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+T^{a\ A}_{B}(\omega^a_\mu\psi^{KL\,B}_\nu-\omega^a_\nu\psi^{KL\,B}_\mu)\\
&\ +T^{a\ K}_{M}(A^a_\mu\psi^{ML\,A}_\nu-A^a_\nu\psi^{ML\,A}_\mu)\\
&\ +T^{a\ L}_{N}(B^a\psi^{KN\,A}_\nu-B^a_\nu\psi^{KN\,A}_\mu)\}\chi^{PQC}_{\rho\sigma}\\
&+\{\partial_\mu\omega^a_\nu-\partial_\nu\omega^a_\mu+i\epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_\mu\omega^c_\nu\\
&-\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^a_{AB}\psi^{KL\,A}_\mu\psi^{MN\,B}_\nu\}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}X_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} \;=\;& \frac{1}{\alpha}\Theta^a_{\mu\nu}\Theta^a_{\rho\sigma}+\frac{1}{\beta}\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}+\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\chi^{KL\,A}_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma}\\
&\ +\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{26}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}\Phi(X_{\mu\nu}\otimes X_{\rho\sigma}) \;=\;& \phi^{ABCD}T^a_{(AB}T^b_{CD)}\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}\\
&+\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^a_{(AB}\epsilon_{C)D}\kappa^{KL\,ABC}(\chi^{MN\,D}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,D}_{\rho\sigma})\\
&+\xi^{ab}\{\Theta^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\Theta^a_{\rho\sigma}-2T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^b_{AB}\chi^{KL\,A}_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma}\}\\
&+\lambda^{ab}\{\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}-2\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}T^b_{AB}\chi^{KL\,A}_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma}\}\\
&-\zeta^{KL\,A}\{\frac{2}{3}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}T^a_{AB}(\chi^{MN\,B}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma})\\
&+2T^a_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}(\chi^{MN\,B}_{\mu\nu}\Theta^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Theta^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma})\\
&-2\epsilon_{KM}T^a_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}(\chi^{MN\,B}_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,B}_{\rho\sigma})\}\\
&+\rho\{\frac{1}{3}(\alpha-\beta)\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Theta^a_{\mu\nu}\Theta^a_{\rho\sigma}-\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta)\epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\epsilon_{AB}\chi^{KL\,A}\chi^{MN\,B}\}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

and that of $N=3$ two-form supergravity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}R_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} \;=\;& -4\{\partial_\mu A^a_\nu-\partial_\nu A^a_\mu+i\epsilon^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu\\
&-\frac{i}{8}i\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon_{AB}\psi^{b\,A}_\mu\psi^{c\,B}_\nu\}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AC}\{\partial_\mu\psi^{a\,A}_\nu-\partial_\nu\psi^{a\,A}_\mu+T^{b\ A}_{B}(\omega^b_\mu\psi^{a\,B}_\nu-\omega^b_\nu\psi^{a\,B}_\mu)\\
&\ \ +i\epsilon^{abc}(A^b_\mu\psi^{c\,A}_\nu-A^b_\nu\psi^{c\,A}_\mu)\}\chi^{aC}_{\rho\sigma}\\
&+\{\partial_\mu\omega^a_\nu-\partial_\nu\omega^a_\mu+i\epsilon^{abc}\omega^b_\mu\omega^c_\nu\\
&+\frac{1}{2}T^a_{AB}\psi^{b\,A}_\mu\psi^{b\,B}_\nu\}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{28}
$$

$$
\mathrm{tr}X_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} \;=\; -4\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}\chi^{aA}_{\mu\nu}\chi^{aB}_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}\ ,
\tag{29}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}\Phi(X_{\mu\nu}\otimes X_{\rho\sigma}) &= \phi^{ABCD}T^a_{(AB}T^b_{CD)}\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^{aABC}T^b_{(AB}\epsilon_{C)D}(\chi^{aD}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\chi^{aD}_{\rho\sigma}) \\
&\quad +\lambda^{ab}\{-2(\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma})+i\epsilon^{acd}T^b_{AB}\chi^{cA}_{\mu\nu}\chi^{dB}_{\rho\sigma}\} \\
&\quad +2\epsilon_{AB}\zeta^A(\chi^{aB}_{\mu\nu}\Pi^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Pi^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{aB}_{\rho\sigma})\ . \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

The integration of the multiplier field ϕ^{ABCD} gives a constraint

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T^a_{(AB}T^b_{CD)}\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}=0\ , \qquad (31)$$

which can be solved by introducing the vierbein field e^n_ν

$$\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}=\eta^a_{mn}e^m_\mu e^n_\nu\ . \qquad (32)$$

Here η^a_{mn} is called the 't Hooft symbol and defined by

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\eta^a_{bc}=\epsilon^{abc}\\ \eta^a_{4b}=-\eta^a_{b4}=\delta^{ab}\end{array}\right. . \qquad (33)$$

The equation of motion which is obtained from the variation of ω^a_μ can be solved with respect to ω^a_μ algebraically, *i.e.*, ω^a_μ becomes a dependent field.

The constraints given by the integration of $\kappa^{KL\,ABC}$ in $N=4$ case

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T^a_{(AB}\epsilon_{C)D}(\chi^{MN\,D}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^a_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^a_{\mu\nu}\chi^{MN\,D}_{\rho\sigma})=0\ , \qquad (34)$$

and κ^{aABC} in $N=3$ case

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}T^b_{(AB}\epsilon_{C)D}(\chi^{aD}_{\mu\nu}\Sigma^b_{\rho\sigma}+\Sigma^b_{\mu\nu}\chi^{aD}_{\rho\sigma})=0\ , \qquad (35)$$

are solved by introducing the right-handed Rarita-Schwinger field $\psi^{KL\,\dot{A}}_\mu$ and $\psi^{a\dot{A}}_\mu$ if we assume Eq.(32)

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^{MN\,D}_{\mu\nu} &= e^m_\mu\sigma_m{}^A{}_{\dot{B}}\psi^{MN\,\dot{B}}_\nu-e^m_\nu\sigma_m{}^A{}_{\dot{B}}\psi^{MN\,\dot{B}}_\mu\ , \qquad (36)\\
\chi^{aD}_{\mu\nu} &= e^m_\mu\sigma_m{}^A{}_{\dot{B}}\psi^{a\dot{B}}_\nu-e^m_\nu\sigma_m{}^A{}_{\dot{B}}\psi^{a\dot{B}}_\mu\ . \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

By counting the degrees of the freedom, we find that other constraints given by λ^{ab}, ξ^{ab}, $\zeta^{KL\,A}$, ρ ($N=4$ case) and λ^{ab}, ζ^A ($N=3$ case) can be also solved. The constraints given by $\zeta^{KL\,A}$ and ζ^A tell that all of the 4×8 ($N=4$ case) or 3×8 ($N=3$ case) components of the right-handed Rarita-Schwinger field are not independent but only 4×6 or 3×6 ones are independent.

The existence of the right-handed Rarita-Schwinger field implies that the system has the right-handed supersymmetry. The right-handed supersymmetry appears as the residual symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond symmetry in the BF theory after imposing the constraint conditions [9]. The Lagrangean of the BF theory has the following form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm BF} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left\{ {\rm tr} R_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma} + \Lambda {\rm tr} X_{\mu\nu}X_{\rho\sigma}\right\} \ . \tag{38}$$

The Lagrangean (38) has the large local symmetry which is called the Kalb-Ramond symmetry. The transformation law of the Kalb-Ramond symmetry is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\rm KR}A_\mu &= -\Lambda C_\mu \ , \\ \delta_{\rm KR}X_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu C_\nu - D_\nu C_\mu) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Here the parameter of the transformation C_μ is in the same representation of $N=3$ or $N=4$ TSA gauge field and the covariant derivative D_μ is defined by

$$D_\mu \ \cdot \ = [\partial_\mu + V_\mu, \ \cdot \ ] \ . \tag{40}$$

Now we consider the Kalb-Ramond like transformation for the Lagrangean (24):

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\rm KR}A_\mu &= -\Lambda C_\mu - \Phi\times C_\mu \ , \\ \delta_{\rm KR}X_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu C_\nu - D_\nu C_\mu) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Here the product $R\times S$ of two operators $R=\sum_{ij} r_{ij}Q^i\otimes Q^j$ and $S=\sum_i s_iQ^i$ (Q_i's are the generators of $N=4$ or $N=3$ TSA), is defined by

$$R\times S \equiv \sum_{ijk} r_{jk}s_i g^{ij}Q^k \ . \tag{42}$$

Here g^{ij} is defined by the trace of the two operators $g^{ij}\equiv {\rm tr}Q^iQ^j$ in Equation (7) or (10). Then the change of the Lagrangean (24) is given by

$$\delta_{\rm KR}\mathcal{L} = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}{\rm tr}D_\mu\Phi(C_\nu\otimes X_{\rho\sigma}) + \ \text{total derivative} \ . \tag{43}$$

This tells that the Lagrangean (24) is invariant if

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(C_\nu \otimes X_{\rho\sigma})|_{N=3\text{ or }N=4\text{ spin 2 representation part}} = 0 \ . \tag{44}$$

Equation (44) does not have solution in general, which can be found by the counting of the degrees of freedom. If we assume, however, that the two-form field $X_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the constraints given by the multiplier field Φ, there is a unique solution,[6]

$$C_\mu = X_{\mu\nu}v^\nu \ . \tag{45}$$

Here v^ν is an arbitrary vector field parameter. The Kalb-Ramond like transformation (41) given by (45) contains the general coordinate transformation. Now we consider the case where v^μ is given by

$$v^\mu = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\varepsilon^{KL\dot{A}}\sigma^\nu_{\dot{A}A}\chi^{MNA}{}_\nu{}^\mu & (N=4) \\ \varepsilon^{a\dot{A}}\sigma^\nu_{\dot{A}A}\chi^{aA}{}_\nu{}^\mu & (N=3) \end{array} \right. \ . \tag{46}$$

Here $\varepsilon^{KL\dot{A}}$ and $\varepsilon^{a\dot{A}}$ are right-handed spinors. Since the vector parameter v^μ is field dependent, v^μ is transformed by the left-handed supersymmetry transformation, which is generated by $\eta^{KLA}G_{KLA}$ or $\eta^{aA}G^a_A$ (η^{KLA} and η^{aA} are left-handed spinor parameters), as follows

$$v^\mu \to v^\mu + \delta v^\mu \ , \qquad \delta v^\mu \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \epsilon_{KM}\epsilon_{LN}\varepsilon^{KL\dot{A}}\sigma^\mu_{\dot{A}A}\eta^{MNA} & (N=4) \\ \varepsilon^{a\dot{A}}\sigma^\mu_{\dot{A}A}\eta^{aA} & (N=3) \end{array} \right. \ . \tag{47}$$

Therefore the commutator of the left-handed supersymmetry transformation and the Kalb-Ramond like transformation (41) whose parameter is given by Eqs.(45) and (46) contains the general coordinate transformation whose parameter is given by (47). The commutator corresponds to the usual commutator of two supercharge:

$$[\epsilon Q, \eta Q] = \bar{\epsilon}\gamma^\mu\eta P_\mu \ . \tag{48}$$

This tells that the Kalb-Ramond like transformation given by Eqs.(41), (45) and (46) can be regarded as the right-handed supersymmetry.

---

[6] In this sense, the “symmetry” discussed in the following is not the real symmetry. The “symmetry” expresses the redundancy when we write the two-form field $X_{\mu\nu}$ by the vierbein *etc.* after solving the constraints given by the multiplier field Φ. Therefore the “symmetry” can be regarded as a kind of hidden local symmetry.

In summary, we have constructed the Lagrangeans of $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ two-form supergravities as gauge theories. The gauge algebras used here can be identified with the subalgebra of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$-extended $N = 4$ superconformal algebra. We have also shown that the right-handed supersymmetry appears as the residual symmetry of the Kalb-Ramond symmetry in the BF theory after imposing the constraint conditions.

We are indebted to A. Sugamoto for the discussion and the reading the manuscript.

References

[1] A. Ashtekar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2244; *Phys. Rev.* **D36** 1587

[2] A.S. Schwarz, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **67** (1979) 1;
G.T. Horowitz, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125** (1989) 417;
M. Blau, G. Tompson, *Ann. Phys.* **205** (1991) 130; *Phys. Lett.* **B255** (1991) 535;
I. Oda, S. Yahikozawa, *Phys. Lett.* **B234** (1990) 69; *Phys. Lett.* **B238** (1990) 272; *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **83** (1990) 845

[3] J.F. Plebanski, *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 2511;
A. Ashtekar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2244; *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 1587;
R. Capovilla, T. Jacobson, J. Dell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 2325;
R. Capovilla, J. Dell, T. Jacobson, L. Myson, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** (1991) 41;
G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357** (1991) 211;
H. Ikemori, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Quantum Gravity and Topology*, ed. by I. Oda (INS-Report INS-T-506) (1991)

[4] M. Kalb, P. Ramond, *Phys. Rev.* **D9** (1974) 2273;
Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rep.* **23C** (1976) 250;
A. Sugamoto, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 1820;
K. Seo, M. Okawa, A. Sugamoto, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 3744;
D.Z. Freedman, P.K. Townsend, *Nucl. Phys.* **B177** (1981) 282;

[5] M. Katsuki, H. Kubotani, S. Nojiri, A. Sugamoto, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10** (1995) 2143.

[6] T. Jacobson, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** (1988) 923,
R. Capovilla, J. Dell, T. Jacobson, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** (1990) 41.

[7] H. Kunitomo, T. Sano, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement* **114** (1993) 31.

[8] K. Ezawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* (1996) 863.

[9] S. Nojiri, *Int.J. Mod. Phys.* **A11** (1996) 4907.

[10] A. Schwimmer, N. Seiberg, *Phys.Lett.* **184B** (1986) 191;
K. Schoutens, *Phys. Lett.* **194B** (1987) 75.

[11] A. Sevrin, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen *Phys. Lett.* **208B** (1988) 447.